

# AdS<sub>3</sub> Gravity and Conformal Field Theories

Klaus Behrndt<sup>a</sup>, Ilka Brunner<sup>a</sup> and Ingo Gaida<sup>b 1</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Humboldt University Berlin  
Invalidenstrasse 110, 10115 Berlin, Germany*

<sup>b</sup>*DAMTP, University of Cambridge  
Silver Street, Cambridge CB3 9EW, UK*

## Abstract

We present a detailed analysis of  $AdS_3$  gravity, the BTZ black hole and the associated conformal field theories (CFTs). In particular we focus on the non-extreme six-dimensional string solution with background metric  $AdS_3 \times S^3$  near the horizon. In addition we introduce momentum modes along the string, corresponding to a BTZ black hole, and a Taub-NUT soliton in the transverse Euclidean space. We show that the  $AdS_3$  space-time of this configuration has the spatial geometry of an annulus with a Liouville model at the outer boundary and a two-dimensional black hole at the inner boundary. These CFTs provide the dynamical degrees of freedom of the three-dimensional effective model and, together with the CFT corresponding to  $S^3$ , provide a statistical interpretation of the corresponding Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. We test the proposed exact black hole entropy, which should hold to all orders in  $\alpha'$ , by an independent field theoretical analysis including higher-order curvature corrections. We find consistent results that yield a renormalization of the classical parameters, only. In addition we find a logarithmic subleading black hole entropy coming from gravitational fivebrane instantons in a special limit in moduli space.

PACS: 04.70, 11.25.H

Keywords: Black Holes, Chern-Simons Theory, Conformal Field Theory.

---

<sup>1</sup>e-mail: behrndt@physik.hu-berlin.de, brunner@physik.hu-berlin.de, I.W.Gaida@damtp.cam.ac.uk, Research supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

# 1 Introduction

In the last years (intersecting) D-branes provided a complete new point of view in black hole physics and in gauge theories living on the D-brane world volume. They may provide a universal link between Yang-Mills theory and gravity. As solutions of the corresponding supergravity equations of motion, branes are typically singular indicating a strong interaction between the world volume theory and the bulk gravity. However, there is a certain subclass of branes where both theories may decouple in certain limits. These non-singular branes are scalar-free and space-time becomes a product space near the horizon, i.e. it factorizes into an anti-de Sitter (*AdS*) space-time, a spherical space and a flat Euclidean space ( $AdS_p \times S_q \times E_r$ ). The diffeomorphism group of *AdS* space-time manifests itself as a conformal group living on the boundary of *AdS*. Thus it is natural to relate physics on the brane with a conformal field theory (CFT) living on the boundary of *AdS*. In fact, in the context of the supermembrane this idea has been proposed already ten years ago [1].

In a quasi-classical approximation ( $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$  and for large  $N$ ) concrete suggestions have been made also for other brane configurations [2]. A great deal of attention received the cases of odd *AdS* space-times; for example the CFT on the boundary of  $AdS_7$  is expected to be dual to a non-critical string theory describing the worldvolume of the M5-brane [3]. The boundary CFT of  $AdS_5$  is believed to be dual to 4-d super Yang-Mills theory describing the D3-brane world volume theory [4], [5]. Moreover the 2-d CFT on the boundary of  $AdS_3$  should be dual to a 2-d  $\sigma$ -model describing the world volume theory of the D1-brane [19]. As stressed in [6] these odd *AdS* space-times are symmetric on both sides of the horizon. There is no singularity beyond the horizon and one may address the question, what a horizon means in the related CFT.

For the  $AdS_7$  and  $AdS_5$  examples it is important to consider special limits where one can trust these solutions ( $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$  and large  $N$ ). Although, keeping enough supersymmetries, these geometries may correspond to exact backgrounds, even at the quantum level [7]. On the other hand, for  $AdS_3$  the conformal field theory on the boundary becomes infinite dimensional and, as a consequence of this symmetry enhancement, we do not need to consider  $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$  and/or large  $N$ . These models are well-defined for all  $N$  or  $\alpha'$  - even without supersymmetry. Furthermore, because  $AdS_3$  is the near-horizon geometry of strings in six or five dimensions, the CFT will provide a microscopic picture of the black hole entropy including  $\alpha'$  corrections. Thus, there are especially two motivations to consider  $AdS_3$  configurations:

- (i) To obtain finite  $N$  results. Especially one should address the question of phase transitions which may spoil the limit from large  $N$  to finite  $N$  [8].
- (ii) To determine  $\alpha'$  corrections. Applied to the black hole entropy these are corrections coming, for instance, from higher-order curvature corrections.

As we will see below, the exact results will not only fine-tune the lowest order results, instead qualitative new features will appear, too.

Anti-de Sitter gravity in three dimensions, i.e. Einstein-Hilbert action plus negative cos-

mological constant, has a long history. Key observations are: (i) The  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})_R \times SL(2, \mathbf{R})_L$  conformal group on the boundary is enhanced to an infinite dimensional Virasoro algebra [9]; (ii) there exist a reformulation as a topological Chern-Simons gauge theory [10] with no bulk degrees of freedom. However, if the manifold has non-trivial boundaries, gauge degrees of freedom become dynamical at the boundary. It follows [11] that the boundary field theory is given by Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) models.

A concrete realization has been discussed by Carlip [12] (and later in [13]), who showed that on the asymptotic boundary a Liouville model is realized. Soon afterwards Banados, Teitelboim and Zanelli (BTZ) found a black hole solution of  $AdS_3$  gravity [14] (see also [15]). Since then many aspects of these black holes have been discussed. The entropy and the microscopic understanding in terms of the boundary CFT in [16] - [29], in [30] the CFT has been analysed and it has been shown that the BTZ black hole is  $T$ -dual to a black string [31, 33]. Apart from the fact that the BTZ black hole is a solution of  $AdS_3$  gravity, it is also a good example for so-called topological black holes that can be obtained by discrete identifications in anti-de Sitter space-times [34].

In this article we focus on the discussion of subleading terms, i.e.  $\alpha'$  or finite  $N$  corrections to the near-horizon geometry of a non-extreme string in six dimensions, which corresponds in ten dimensions to a string living inside a 5-brane. In addition to these two branes we include a Taub-NUT soliton and add momentum modes along the string. In the near-horizon region, the Taub-NUT soliton yields an orbifolding of the  $S_3$  and the waves produces a BTZ black hole in the  $AdS_3$  part (section 2). At the same time the black hole provides an additional boundary in  $AdS_3$ , i.e. the spatial three-dimensional geometry becomes an annulus. On both boundaries live *different* CFTs (section 4): on the asymptotic boundary it is a Liouville model (as expected) and on the horizon it is a 2-d black hole (see also [18]). In a previous paper [22] we discussed already the entropy and subleading contribution to the central charge and obtained  $c = 6k + \beta + \frac{\gamma}{k}$ , where  $k$  is the Chern-Simons level and  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are some numbers. Here we work out the complete CFT and interpret the subleading contribution as  $\alpha'$  corrections, which fit with higher-order curvature corrections to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of 4-d black holes (section 5). In addition we review in section 3 the different  $AdS_3$  parametrizations and the discrete identifications, which yield the BTZ black hole.

## 2 The near-horizon region of strings

As a “master model” we can consider the 4-charge configuration of the  $NS$ -sector including a fundamental string, a 5-brane, a wave and a Taub-NUT soliton. This configuration is part of all string models. In particular it is  $S$ -dual to the D1-D5 system and it contains enough charges to address the question of the exact entropy of four dimensional black hole solutions carrying 4 charges. The corresponding metric can be obtained from the the non-extreme string background metric [42]

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{H_1} \left( -\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{r}\right) dt^2 + dy^2 \right) + H_2 \left( \frac{1}{H_3} (dx_4 + \vec{V} d\vec{x})^2 + H_3 \left( \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{\mu}{r}} + r^2 d\Omega_2 \right) \right) + ds_{int}^2 \quad (1)$$

and, after a finite boost along the string direction

$$dt \rightarrow \cosh \beta dt + \sinh \beta dy \quad , \quad dy \rightarrow \sinh \beta dt + \cosh \beta dy, \quad (2)$$

one obtains

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{H_1} \left( -dt^2 + dy^2 + \frac{q_0 \tanh \beta}{r} (dy - \coth \beta dt)^2 \right) + H_2 \left( \frac{1}{H_3} (dx_4 + \vec{V} d\vec{x})^2 + H_3 \left( \frac{dr^2}{1-\mu} + r^2 d\Omega \right) \right) + ds_{int}^2 \quad (3)$$

$$H = d(1/H_1) \wedge dt \wedge dy + {}^*(dH_2 \wedge dt \wedge dy) \quad , \quad e^{-2\phi} = \frac{H_1}{H_2} \quad , \quad dH_3 = {}^*dV$$

with  $q_0 \equiv \mu \cosh \beta \sinh \beta$ . In the extreme limit ( $\beta \rightarrow \infty$ ) one keeps  $q_0$  fix, which becomes the wave charge. Moreover, every harmonic function parametrizes one brane:

$H_1 = 1 + \frac{q_1}{r}$ : the fundamental string

$H_2 = 1 + \frac{p^2}{r}$ : (compactified) NS-5-brane

$H_3 = 1 + \frac{p^3}{r}$ : KK-monopole (Taub-NUT space)

and  $ds_{int}^2$  is the 4-dimensional compact space (5-brane worldvolume), which we will assume to be trivial, e.g. it is given by a torus. In the following we will omit this internal space. Thus, introducing polar coordinates one obtains for the near-horizon geometry

$$ds^2 = \frac{r}{q_1} \left[ -dt^2 + dy^2 + \frac{q_0 \tanh \beta}{r} (dy - \coth \beta dt)^2 \right] + p^2 p^3 \left( \frac{dr^2}{r(r-\mu)} \right)^2 + p^2 p^3 [(d\zeta + (\pm 1 - \cos \theta) d\phi)^2 + d\Omega_2] \quad (4)$$

$$H = H_1 + H_2 = \frac{1}{q_1} (dr \wedge dt \wedge dy) + p^2 p^3 (d\zeta \wedge d\phi \wedge d\theta) \quad , \quad e^{-2\phi} = \frac{q_1}{p^2} .$$

with  $x^4 \equiv p^3 \zeta$  and the “ $\pm$ ” ambiguity indicates the different choices for the north and south hemisphere. It follows that near the horizon ( $r = 0$ ) the six-dimensional space-time becomes a product space of two three-dimensional subspaces

$$M_6 = AdS_3 \times S^3/Z_m . \quad (5)$$

The Euclidean space  $S^3/Z_m$  is described by a  $SU(2)/Z(m)$ -WZW model (see [44] and reference therein), a discrete subgroup is projected out due to the KK-monopole ( $\zeta \simeq \zeta + \frac{4\pi}{m}$ ). This model corresponds to an *exact* three dimensional conformal field theory. Its level is related to the radius of the  $S_3$  and the central charge is given by

$$k \equiv k_{SU} = \frac{p^2 p^3}{\alpha'} \quad , \quad c_{SU} = \frac{3k}{k+2} . \quad (6)$$

In the classical limit  $k \rightarrow \infty$  (or  $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$ ) one obtains  $c_{SU} = 3$ . Later on, we will be interested in the entropy of 4-dimensional black holes. Thus, we dimensionally reduce over the NUT-direction. This reduces the effective central charge by one. Moreover, since

we have a compact group manifold  $k$  has to be quantized (positive integer). The non-compact three-dimensional space-time  $AdS_3$ , on the other hand, represents the Banados-Teitelboim-Zanelli (BTZ) black hole [14, 15] for appropriate values of the charges with coordinates  $x^\mu = (t, y, r)$ . Starting with (4) one can perform the following transformation

$$t \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{q_1}{l}} t \quad , \quad y \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{q_1}{l}} y \quad , \quad r \rightarrow \frac{r^2}{l} - q_0 \tanh \beta \quad (7)$$

to obtain the metric:

$$ds^2 = -e^{-2V(r)} dt^2 + e^{2V(r)} dr^2 + \left(\frac{r}{l}\right)^2 \left(dy - \frac{r_- r_+}{r^2} dt\right)^2 \quad (8)$$

with

$$e^{-2V(r)} = \frac{(r^2 - r_-^2)(r^2 - r_+^2)}{r^2 l^2} \quad , \quad r_\pm^2 = \frac{l q_0}{(\tanh \beta)^{\pm 1}} \quad , \quad \mu = \frac{r_+^2 - r_-^2}{l} \quad , \quad l^2 = 4p^2 p^3 \quad (9)$$

The horizons of the BTZ black hole are located at  $r = r_\pm$ , the mass and angular momentum are given by  $M = \frac{r_+^2 + r_-^2}{l^2}$ ,  $J = \frac{r_+ r_-}{l^2}$ , respectively. The background metric solves the three-dimensional Einstein-Hilbert action

$$S_{EH} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_3^2} \int_{AdS_3} d^3x \sqrt{-g} (R - 2\Lambda) \quad (10)$$

including a negative cosmological constant  $\Lambda = -1/l^2$ . In the limit  $q_0 \rightarrow 0$  one obtains the empty space solution ( $AdS$  vacuum state) with metric

$$ds_{\text{vac}}^2 = -\frac{r^2}{l^2} dt^2 + \frac{l^2}{r^2} dr^2 + \frac{r^2}{l^2} dy^2 \quad (11)$$

In our “master model” we reach this vacuum solution if there are no wave-modes along the six-dimensional string ( $q_0 = 0$ ) and from the point of view of the BTZ black hole it corresponds to the massless case ( $M = J = 0$ ). Note that the vacuum solution and the “standard”  $AdS_3$  metric

$$ds_{\text{AdS}_3}^2 = -\left(\frac{r^2}{l^2} + 1\right) dt^2 + \left(\frac{r^2}{l^2} + 1\right)^{-1} dr^2 + \frac{r^2}{l^2} dy^2 \quad (12)$$

can be locally mapped onto each other, but they are globally inequivalent.

The six-dimensional string configuration is a solution of the action

$$S_6 = \frac{1}{2\kappa_6^2} \int_{M_6} d^6x \sqrt{-G} e^{-2\phi} \left[ R - \frac{1}{12} H_{\mu\nu\rho} H^{\mu\nu\rho} + 4(\partial\phi)^2 \right] \quad (13)$$

with  $e^{-2\phi} = H_1/H_2$ . Near the horizon  $r = 0$  the six-dimensional space-time  $M_6$  becomes a product space and, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} R(M_6) &= R(AdS_3) + R(S^3) \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{-G(M_6)} &= \sqrt{-g(AdS_3)} \sqrt{g(S^3)}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Thus, using

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^3} d^3x \sqrt{g(S^3)} R(S^3) &= V(S^3) \frac{4}{l^2} \\ \int_{S^3} d^3x \sqrt{g(S^3)} &= V(S^3) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

one obtains near the horizon the following three-dimensional action

$$S_3 = e^{-2\phi_h} \frac{V}{2\kappa_6^2} \int_{AdS_3} d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left[ R - \frac{1}{12} H_{\mu\nu\rho} H^{\mu\nu\rho} + \frac{4}{l^2} \right]. \quad (16)$$

Here  $e^{2\phi_h}$  denotes the dilaton at the horizon  $r = 0$ , which is a constant. The solution of the equations of motion is given by [30]

$$H_{\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{2}{l} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho}, \quad R_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{l^2} g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (17)$$

Since the two-form field strength is constant, one can use its equation of motion to obtain from (16) the Einstein-Hilbert action in  $AdS_3$ :

$$S_{EH} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_3^2} \int_{AdS_3} d^3x \sqrt{-g} (R - 2\Lambda), \quad \kappa_3^2 = \frac{\kappa_6^2}{V(S^3)} e^{2\phi_h}. \quad (18)$$

Note that a 3-form field strength in 3 dimension is dual to a constant and therefore this action can also be obtained just by dualizing  $H$ . A similar analysis starting in ten dimensions can be found in [17].

### 3 $AdS_3$ geometry and BTZ black holes

For later convenience we will review in this section some parametrizations of  $AdS_3$  and discuss the discrete identifications, which yield the BTZ black hole.

#### 3.1 Parametrizations of $AdS_3$

A three-dimensional anti-de Sitter space-time is defined as a hyperboloid in a 4-d space with the signature  $(-+++)$ , i.e.

$$-(X^0)^2 + (X^1)^2 + (X^2)^2 - (X^3)^2 = -l^2 \quad (19)$$

On the other hand a de-Sitter space is related to  $\Lambda^2 = -l^2 > 0$ , i.e. formally to an imaginary  $l$ . At the same time this space defines the  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  group space, i.e. any  $g \in SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  can be given by

$$g = \frac{1}{l} \begin{pmatrix} X^0 + X^1 & X^2 - X^3 \\ X^2 + X^3 & X^0 - X^1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{l} \begin{pmatrix} X_+ & U \\ V & X_- \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

The  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  algebra reads

$$[T_a, T_b] = \epsilon_{ab}^c T_c, \quad \text{Tr}(T_a T_b) = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{ab} \quad (21)$$

with the generators  $T_a$  and  $\eta = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1)$ ,  $\epsilon^{012} = 1$ . A representation is given by

$$T_0 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (22)$$

Using these generators we can write the group element  $g$  and their inverse as

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \frac{1}{l} (X^0 \mathbf{1} + 2X^3 T_0 + 2X^2 T_1 + 2X^1 T_2) \\ g^{-1} &= \frac{1}{l} (X^0 \mathbf{1} - 2X^3 T_0 - 2X^2 T_1 - 2X^1 T_2). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Next, we want to embed the string solution that we discussed before. One way to do this is to put the horizon given by  $r = 0$  at the lightcone direction  $X_+ = 0$ , which is related to the coordinate identifications

$$U = \frac{ur}{l}, \quad V = \frac{vr}{l} \quad \text{and} \quad X_+ = r. \quad (24)$$

(so the string worldsheet  $(u, v)$  is in the  $(U, V)$  plane). In 4 dimensions the metric is flat, i.e.

$$ds^2 = -(dX^0)^2 + (dX^1)^2 + (dX^2)^2 - (dX^3)^2 = -dX_+ dX_- + dU dV. \quad (25)$$

Using the constraint (19) we can substitute the  $X_-$  coordinate and get the 3-d metric

$$ds^2 = \left(\frac{r}{l}\right)^2 du dv + \left(\frac{l}{r}\right)^2 dr^2 \quad (26)$$

which coincides with the asymptotic  $AdS_3$  vacuum of the BTZ black hole, see (11). In a more general setup, we can replace  $u \rightarrow \theta_L l$ ,  $v \rightarrow \theta_R l$  and  $r \rightarrow e^\lambda l$ , i.e.

$$V = \theta_L e^\lambda l, \quad U = \theta_R e^\lambda l \quad \text{and} \quad X_- = e^\lambda l \quad (27)$$

and the  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  group element becomes

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \theta_L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\lambda} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \theta_R \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^\lambda & \theta_R e^\lambda \\ \theta_L e^\lambda & e^{-\lambda} + \theta_L \theta_R e^\lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad (28)$$

There is a second parametrization that will become important later, where one introduces polar coordinates for the  $(X^0, X^2)$  and  $(X^1, X^3)$  planes

$$\begin{aligned} X^0 &= R \cosh \theta_1, & X^1 &= \sqrt{R^2 - l^2} \cosh \theta_2 \\ X^2 &= R \sinh \theta_1, & X^3 &= \sqrt{R^2 - l^2} \sinh \theta_2. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

To be more precise, this parametrization is only valid in the region

$$-(X^0)^2 + (X^2)^2 < 0$$

The surface

$$-(X^0)^2 + (X^2)^2 = 0$$

is a null surface which separates the space into two regions. In the case that

$$-(X^0)^2 + (X^2)^2 = -R^2 > 0$$

we have to replace  $R$  in the parametrization by  $\sqrt{-R^2}$ . Similarly, in (29)

$$(X^1)^2 - (X^3)^2 = R^2 - l^2$$

For the regions where  $R^2 - l^2 < 0$ , we have to replace  $\sqrt{R^2 - l^2}$  by  $\sqrt{l^2 - R^2}$ .

Another way would be to take polar coordinates for the two Euclidean planes  $(X^0, X^3)$  and  $(X^1, X^2)$ . The space is then parametrized in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} X^0 &= l \cosh \lambda \sin \theta_1, & X^1 &= l \sinh \lambda \sin \theta_2 \\ X^2 &= l \sinh \lambda \cos \theta_2, & X^3 &= l \cosh \lambda \cos \theta_1. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

In doing so the complete  $AdS_3$  space is covered. In this parametrization the closed timelike curves are visible. To avoid them, one usually considers the covering space of  $AdS_3$ . As before we can calculate the resulting 3-d metric and find

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= l^2 \left( \sinh^2 \lambda d\theta_2^2 - \cosh^2 \lambda d\theta_1^2 + d\lambda^2 \right) \\ &= +\frac{R^2}{l^2} dy^2 - \left( \frac{R^2}{l^2} + 1 \right) dt^2 + \left( \frac{R^2}{l^2} + 1 \right)^{-1} dr^2, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where we have identified  $R = l \sinh \lambda$ ,  $l\theta_2 = y$  and  $l\theta_1 = t$ . This metric coincides with (26) in the limit  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, the string world sheet is now along the polar angles  $\theta_{1/2}$  and in contrast to the case discussed above it has been rotated (before the worldsheet was only in the  $X^{2/3}$  plane, see eq. (24)).

Taking (29), the group element  $g$  in (20) becomes

$$g = e^{\theta_L T_1} e^{\lambda T_2} e^{\theta_R T_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \frac{\theta_L}{2} & \sinh \frac{\theta_L}{2} \\ \sinh \frac{\theta_L}{2} & \cosh \frac{\theta_L}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\lambda/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \frac{\theta_R}{2} & \sinh \frac{\theta_R}{2} \\ \sinh \frac{\theta_R}{2} & \cosh \frac{\theta_R}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (32)$$

where  $\theta_{R/L} = (\theta_1 \pm \theta_2)$ . It is also useful to calculate the  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  currents, using (23) we find

$$\begin{aligned} g^{-1}dg &= \frac{2}{l^2} [X^0 dX^3 - X^3 dX^0 + X^1 dX^2 - X^2 dX^1] T_0 \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{l^2} [X^0 dX^2 - X^2 dX^0 + X^1 dX^3 - X^3 dX^1] T_1 \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{l^2} [X^0 dX^1 - X^1 dX^0 + X^3 dX^2 - X^2 dX^3] T_2 \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$



or, if we express it in terms of the lightcone coordinates ( $T_{\pm} = T_1 \pm T_0$ )

$$g^{-1}dg = \frac{1}{l^2}(X_+dV - VdX_+)T_+ + \frac{1}{l^2}(X_-dU - UdX_-)T_- + \frac{1}{l^2}(X_-dX_+ - X_+dX_- + VdU - UdV)T_2. \quad (34)$$

Inserting the coordinates (29) this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} g^{-1}dg &= [-\sinh \theta_L d\lambda + \sinh \lambda \cosh \theta_L d\theta_R] T_0 \\ &+ [\cosh \lambda d\theta_R + d\theta_L] T_1 \\ &+ [\cosh \theta_L d\rho - \sinh \lambda \sinh \theta_L d\theta_R] T_2 \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

### 3.2 The BTZ black hole as a topological solution in $AdS_3$

How can we construct black holes in anti de Sitter space? We know that space-time is locally anti-de Sitter. In particular, its curvature is constant. The black hole can differ from  $AdS$  only in its global properties. It was shown in [15] that one can obtain three dimensional black holes from the universal cover of  $AdS_3$  by dividing out by a discrete symmetry group. The symmetry is given by a discrete subgroup given by a particular Killing vector  $\zeta$ . The Killing vectors in  $AdS_3$  space generate the isometries of  $AdS$ . These are the boosts in the (0,1), (2,3), (1,3) and (0,2) planes and rotations in the (0,3) and (1,2) planes. The boost generators are of the following form

$$J_{ab} = x_a \partial_b + x_b \partial_a,$$

where  $ab = \{01, 23, 13, 02\}$ . Rotations are generated by the vectors

$$L_{mn} = x_m \partial_n - x_n \partial_m,$$

where  $mn = 03, 12$ . It is known that  $SO(2, 2) \sim SL(2, R)_L \times SL(2, R)_R$ . In terms of the generators, one  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  is generated by

$$J_{02} - J_{13}, \quad J_{01} + J_{23}, \quad L_{03} - L_{12}$$

and the other one is generated by

$$J_{02} + J_{13}, \quad J_{01} - J_{23}, \quad L_{03} + L_{12}$$

Ref. [15] obtained the black hole solutions using Killing vectors, which are linear combinations of the boost generators in the (0,2) and (1,3) plane. The particular form of the linear combination determines the locations of the horizons  $r_+$  and  $r_-$ . We will therefore pick a parametrization of the  $AdS$  space, in which the Killing vector takes a particularly simple form.

Let us turn to our concrete parametrization of  $AdS$  given in the previous section. Computing the metric in the new coordinates  $R, \theta_1, \theta_2$  as introduced in (29) yields

$$ds^2 = \left( \frac{R^2}{l^2} - 1 \right)^{-1} dR^2 + l^2 \left( 1 - \frac{R^2}{l^2} \right) d\theta_2^2 + R^2 d\theta_1^2 \quad (36)$$

Note that  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are boost parameters, i.e.  $\theta_{1,2} \in \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ . The above metric formally looks like a black hole metric if we identify

$$l\theta_2 = t$$

as a time coordinate. We would also like to interpret the parameter  $\theta_1$  as an angular coordinate. However, it has the wrong range of parameter. We have to identify

$$\theta_1 = \theta_1 + 2\pi$$

(this is also necessary to avoid the conical singularity at  $R = 0$ ). This means that we have divided out the space by a discrete symmetry. The Killing vector corresponding to this symmetry is given by

$$\zeta = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1}$$

This means that we divide out by the following finite symmetry transformation

$$e^{2\pi\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1}}P \sim P,$$

where  $P$  is a point of space-time. The effect of the operation is that  $\theta_1$  has periodicity  $2\pi$ . We can change the periodicity by dividing out by

$$e^{n\pi\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1}}P \sim P.$$

If we introduce the coordinates  $\theta_{L/R}$ , we see that the Killing vectors  $J_{01} \pm J_{23}$  are given by  $\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_L}$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_R}$ . For the other generators in  $SL(2, R)_L \times SL(2, R)_R$  we obtain more complicated expressions in terms of these coordinates.

This is not the only way to make one of the coordinates periodic. In fact, we can periodically identify a linear combination of  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ . In the resulting black hole solution this corresponds to adding angular momentum. Because the metric for our brane configuration is of the form (8), we are particularly interested in that case. Let us perform the coordinate transformation

$$R^2 = l^2 \frac{r_+^2 - r_-^2}{r_+^2 - r_-^2}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_+/l^2 & -r_-/l^2 \\ -r_-/l^2 & r_+/l^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ t \end{pmatrix} \quad (37)$$

The determinant of the matrix vanishes for  $r_+ = r_-$  and, therefore, we should restrict to the case  $r_+ \neq r_-$  (the extremal case is not included in this discussion). The new metric is given by (8). Again, both  $t$  and  $y$  take values on the whole real axis. To turn the metric into a black hole metric, we have to periodically identify  $y$ . That means, we choose the Killing vector  $\partial_y$  and divide out by

$$e^{n\pi\frac{\partial}{\partial y}}$$

Note that  $y$  is a linear combination of the original  $\theta$ -coordinates, which means that we have rotated the compact direction. In terms of the theta coordinates, the Killing vector reads

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{r_-}{r_+^2 - r_-^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} + \frac{r_+}{r_+^2 - r_-^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1}$$

Once again, we obtain  $r_+ \neq r_-$ .

## 4 Chern-Simons theory and WZW models

Now we will discuss the different CFT's in detail. In order to do so we re-write, first of all, the BTZ black hole as a Chern-Simons theory. The spatial part of the geometry is given by an annulus and on both boundaries live different CFT's. In two subsections we analyse the two boundaries separately.

### 4.1 The BTZ black hole as a Chern-Simons model

It is known that Einstein-anti-de Sitter gravity in  $2+1$  dimensions, as given in eq. (18), is equivalent to Chern-Simons theory [10] (for a discussion of an additional matter part see [35]). Choosing conventions where the three-dimensional gravitational coupling is related to the level  $k$  by

$$k = \frac{2\pi l}{\kappa_3^2} = \frac{p^2 p^3}{\alpha'} \quad (38)$$

and decomposing the diffeomorphism group  $SO(2, 2) \simeq SL(2, \mathbf{R})_L \times SL(2, \mathbf{R})_R$  the 3-dimensional action can be written as

$$S = S_{CS}[A] - S_{CS}[\bar{A}] \quad (39)$$

with

$$S_{CS}[A] = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{M_3} d^3x \text{Tr} (AdA + \frac{2}{3}A^3) . \quad (40)$$

The gauge field one-forms are

$$A = (\omega^a + \frac{1}{l}e^a) T_a \in SL(2, \mathbf{R})_R , \quad \bar{A} = (\omega^a - \frac{1}{l}e^a) \bar{T}_a \in SL(2, \mathbf{R})_L. \quad (41)$$

where  $\omega^a \equiv \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{abc}\omega_{bc}$  are given by the spin-connections  $\omega_{bc}$  and  $e^a$  are the dreibeine. Under gauge transformations

$$A \rightarrow g^{-1}(A + d)g \quad (42)$$

the Chern-Simons action transforms as

$$S_{SC}[A] \rightarrow S_{SC}[A] - \frac{k}{12} \int_M (g^{-1}dg)^3 - \frac{k}{8\pi} \int_{\partial M} \left[ (g^{-1}dg)_v (g^{-1}Ag)_u - (g^{-1}dg)_u (g^{-1}Ag)_v \right] \quad (43)$$

where the integral over  $\partial M$  comprises all boundaries. The model is therefore gauge invariant if (i) there are no boundaries or (ii) if the gauge field are trivial on the boundaries and the topological charge coming from the  $(g^{-1}dg)^3$  term is integer-valued. However, anti-de Sitter spaces have boundaries and the fields do not vanish there. Furthermore,  $AdS$ -spaces are globally not hyperbolic. Thus, to obtain a reliable theory, one has to impose boundary conditions [36] (see also [49]). As a consequence gauge degrees of freedom do not decouple and become dynamical at the boundaries. These are the degrees of freedom of the conformal field theories living at the boundaries.

In the following we will discuss this procedure for the BTZ black hole. The geometry of the manifold is  $M_3 = \mathbf{R} \times \Sigma$ , where  $\mathbf{R}$  corresponds to the time of the covering space of  $AdS_3$  and  $\Sigma$  represents an “annulus”  $r_+ \leq r < \infty$ .

For the metric (8) the dreibeine are  $(ds^2 = -e^0 e^0 + e^1 e^1 + e^2 e^2)$

$$e^0 = e^{-V} dt \quad , \quad e^y = \left(\frac{r}{l}\right) \left(dy - \frac{r-r_+}{r^2} dt\right) \quad , \quad e^r = e^V dr \quad (44)$$

and using the relation

$$de^a + \omega^a{}_b \wedge e^b = 0$$

one obtains for the spin-connections

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{0r} &= e^V \frac{r}{l^2} \left(1 + \frac{r-r_+}{r^2}\right) e^0 - \frac{r-r_+}{r^2 l} e^y \\ \omega^{yr} &= e^{-V} \frac{1}{r} e^y + \frac{r-r_+}{r^2 l} e^0 \\ \omega^{0y} &= -\frac{r-r_+}{r^2 l} e^r . \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

It follows that the gauge connections  $A = A^a T_a$  and  $\bar{A} = \bar{A}^a \bar{T}_a$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} A^0 &= e^{-V} \frac{dv}{l} \quad , \quad \bar{A}^0 = e^{-V} \frac{du}{l} \quad , \\ A^1 &= \frac{r}{l} \left(1 - \frac{r-r_+}{r^2}\right) \frac{dv}{l} \quad , \quad \bar{A}^1 = -\frac{r}{l} \left(1 + \frac{r-r_+}{r^2}\right) \frac{du}{l} \quad , \\ A^2 &= e^V \left(1 + \frac{r-r_+}{r^2}\right) \frac{dr}{l} \quad , \quad \bar{A}^2 = -e^V \left(1 - \frac{r-r_+}{r^2}\right) \frac{dr}{l} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \left(e^{-V} T_0 + \frac{r}{l} \left(1 - \frac{r-r_+}{r^2}\right) T_1\right) \frac{dv}{l} + e^V \left(1 + \frac{r-r_+}{r^2}\right) T_2 \frac{dr}{l} \quad , \\ \bar{A} &= \left(e^{-V} T_0 - \frac{r}{l} \left(1 + \frac{r-r_+}{r^2}\right) T_1\right) \frac{du}{l} - e^V \left(1 - \frac{r-r_+}{r^2}\right) T_2 \frac{dr}{l} . \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

These fields are pure gauges ( $F = \bar{F} = 0$ ). Performing particular coordinate transformations  $A$  becomes

$$A = g^{-1} dg = \left(\frac{r_+ - r_-}{l} \sinh \lambda T_0 + \frac{r_+ + r_-}{l} \cosh \lambda T_1\right) \frac{dv}{l} + T_2 \frac{d\lambda}{l} \quad (48)$$

where  $\sinh \lambda = \frac{l}{r_+ - r_-} e^{-V}$  and  $g$  is given by (32) with  $\theta_L = 0$  and  $\theta_R = v$  (compare also with (35)). Analogous one obtains for  $\bar{A}$

$$\bar{A} = \bar{g}^{-1} d\bar{g} = \left( -\frac{r_+ + r_-}{l} \sinh \lambda T_0 - \frac{r_+ + r_-}{l} \cosh \lambda T_1 \right) \frac{du}{l} - T_2 \frac{d\lambda}{l} \quad (49)$$

where  $\sinh \lambda = -\frac{l}{r_+ + r_-} e^{-V}$  and  $\bar{g}$  is again given by (32), but now with  $\theta_L = 0$  and  $\theta_R = u$ .

Obviously any rescaling of the form  $g \rightarrow g_0 g$  with a constant group element  $g_0$  gives an equivalent parametrization and, thus, the group elements  $g$  and  $\bar{g}$  are not uniquely fixed. At the boundaries the gauge fields take the value

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for } r \rightarrow \infty : \quad A &= \frac{r}{l} (T_1 + T_0) \frac{dv}{l} + T_2 \frac{dr}{r} = \frac{r}{l} T_+ \frac{dv}{l} + T_2 \frac{dr}{r} \\ \bar{A} &= -\frac{r}{l} (T_1 - T_0) \frac{du}{l} - T_2 \frac{dr}{r} = -\frac{r}{l} T_- \frac{du}{l} - T_2 \frac{dr}{r} . \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

with  $T_{\pm} = T_1 \pm T_0$ . In terms of  $\lambda$ , the horizon boundary  $r \rightarrow r_+$  is mapped to  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  and the gauge fields become

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for } \lambda \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{or } r \rightarrow r_+) : \quad A &= \frac{1}{l} (r_+ - r_-) T_1 \frac{dv}{l} + T_2 d\lambda \\ \bar{A} &= -\frac{1}{l} (r_+ + r_-) T_1 \frac{du}{l} - T_2 d\lambda . \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

But these gauge fields do not follow from the variational principle for the Chern-Simons action (40), which yields

$$\delta S_{CS}[A] = \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_M \delta A \wedge F - \frac{k}{8\pi} \int_{\partial M} [A_v \delta A_u - A_u \delta A_v] . \quad (52)$$

The vanishing of the bulk variations means that the field strength has to be zero (i.e. pure gauge), which is in fact the case for the BTZ solution. This statement holds also at the quantum level, where one allows for arbitrary gauge fields, i.e. not only classical solutions. Namely, as consequence of our geometry  $M_3 = \mathbf{R} \times \Sigma$  (where  $\mathbf{R}$  corresponds to the time), the time component of the gauge field  $A_0$  appears as a Lagrange multiplier in the action and integrating out this Lagrange multiplier from the quantum effective action yields the constraint  $F_{gr} = 0$  [11]. Hence, at the quantum level the connections on  $\Sigma$  are also flat.

On the other hand, treating boundary variations in the same (independent) way as bulk variations yields  $A_u = A_v = 0$  at  $\partial M$ , i.e. gauge transformations have to vanish at the boundaries. However, this is not the case for our solution (47), which has non-trivial boundary values presented in (50) and (51). A simple way to obtain non-trivial gauge fields at the boundaries from the action principle is to add further terms.

In the following we will discuss both boundaries separately.

## 4.2 The CFT at the asymptotic boundary

In order to obtain the correct CFT at the boundary, one has to take two points into account:

- (i) To comply with the action principle we have to add additional boundary terms to the action.
- (ii) The CFT is given by the gauge degrees of freedom that become dynamical on the boundary, i.e. the CFT is related to the broken gauge symmetries. However, the BTZ solution has still an invariant subgroup and therefore the CFT does not correspond to the complete  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  group, but to an  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ -coset, where the invariant subgroup is modded out.

We will start with the first point. As suggested by our classical solution we will consider the following boundary conditions at infinity

$$A_u = \bar{A}_v = 0 . \quad (53)$$

To obtain the correct boundary conditions in agreement with the variational principle one has to add additional boundary terms to the quantum effective action. Considering

$$\begin{aligned} S[A, \bar{A}] &= S_{CS}[A] + B_\infty[A] - S_{CS}[\bar{A}] - B_\infty[\bar{A}] \\ &= \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_M (AdA + \frac{2}{3}A^3) - \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_M (\bar{A}d\bar{A} + \frac{2}{3}\bar{A}^3) + \frac{k}{8\pi} \int_{\partial M_\infty} (A_v A_u + \bar{A}_u \bar{A}_v) \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

yields the variation

$$\delta S = \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_M \delta A \wedge F - \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_M \delta \bar{A} \wedge \bar{F} + \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{\partial M_\infty} (A_u \delta A_v + \bar{A}_v \delta \bar{A}_u) \quad (55)$$

and, therefore, since  $\delta A$  are arbitrary, one obtains the following equations of motion and boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} F = \bar{F} &= 0 & \text{in } M \\ A_u = \bar{A}_v &= 0 & \text{on } \partial M_\infty \quad (r = \infty) \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

which is in agreement with (53).

As argued below (eq. (52)), the field strength has to vanish at the classical and at the quantum level and therefore we can write

$$A = g^{-1}dg \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{A} = \bar{g}^{-1}d\bar{g} . \quad (57)$$

Inserting these fields into the action (54) one obtains two chiral WZW models (due to the boundary terms). Combining both chiral models to one non-chiral WZW model yields [37]

$$\begin{aligned} S[A, \bar{A}] &= S_{cWZW_v}[g^{-1}] + S_{cWZW_u}[\bar{g}] = S_{WZW}[\hat{g}^{-1}] \\ &= \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{\partial M} \text{tr}(\hat{g}^{-1}d\hat{g})(\hat{g}^{-1}d\hat{g}) - \frac{k}{6\pi} \int_M \text{tr}(\hat{g}^{-1}d\hat{g})(\hat{g}^{-1}d\hat{g})(\hat{g}^{-1}d\hat{g}) . \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

where  $\hat{g} = g\bar{g}^{-1}$  can be parametrized by

$$\hat{g} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \theta_L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\lambda} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \theta_R \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (59)$$

In order to make this result more transparent it is useful to consider the classical solution (50), for which the gauge group elements read

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \theta_L & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\lambda/2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{g} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \theta_R \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\lambda/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\lambda/2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (60)$$

with  $e^\lambda = \frac{r}{l}$ ,  $\theta_L = \frac{v}{l}$  and  $\theta_R = \frac{u}{l}$ . Combining both elements yields (59).

As mentioned at the beginning of this section, the boundary CFT is not a complete  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  model but a coset model. In order to find the correct coset one has to determine the invariant subgroup. Examination of the group elements of the BTZ model (60) yields that gauge transformations of the type

$$g \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \alpha_L & 1 \end{pmatrix} g \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{g} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_R \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \bar{g} \quad (61)$$

can be absorbed into a redefinition of  $u$  and  $v$ . Note that both gauge connections  $A_\mu$  and  $\bar{A}_\mu$  do not depend on these two coordinates, which correspond to Killing vectors. For the CFT this symmetry means, that as group space we have to consider a coset model and, therefore, the WZW models must be gauged. For the model at hand one has to gauge the group directions generated by  $T_\pm$  which is given by [43]

$$S_{WZW} \rightarrow S_{WZW} + \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{\partial M_\infty} \left[ a_v (e^{2\lambda} \partial_u \theta_L - \sqrt{\mu}) + a_u (e^{2\lambda} \partial_v \theta_R - \sqrt{\mu}) + a_u a_v e^{2\lambda} \right]. \quad (62)$$

It is invariant under  $\theta_{L/R} \rightarrow \theta_{L/R} + \alpha$ ,  $a \rightarrow a + d\alpha$ . Integrating out the gauge fields  $a_{u/v}$  yields

$$S = \frac{k-2}{4\pi} \int_{\partial M_\infty} \left[ \partial_u \lambda \partial_v \lambda + Q R^{(2)} \lambda + \mu e^{-2\lambda} \right] \quad (63)$$

which is the Liouville model. This gauged model is equivalent to keeping fix the currents  $J_\pm = \sqrt{\mu}$  (see [41]) and thus the value of the Liouville mass parameter  $\mu$  can be matched with the classical boundary values appearing in (50), i.e. one may take<sup>2</sup>  $\sqrt{\mu} = 1/l$ . The shift  $k \rightarrow k - 2$  is a renormalization effect and in supersymmetric models one may undo this shift. Finally the background charge  $Q$  comes from performing the Gaussian integral. Equivalently, the appearance of this term is required by conformal invariance and even for vanishing 2-d curvature ( $R^{(2)} = 0$ ) one has to take into account the background charge  $Q$ . To make this connection more clear let us mention, that  $\lambda$  corresponds to the

---

<sup>2</sup>Note that like  $\mu$  also  $l$  is an undetermined quantity; due to the scaling symmetry of the asymptotic vacuum  $r \rightarrow \rho r$  and  $l \rightarrow \rho l$ . Hence, in a quantum theory these are “bad” expansion parameters.

radial coordinate of the target space and the Liouville model can be seen as a  $\sigma$ -model description of two scalar fields, the dilaton  $\phi(\lambda)$  and a tachyon  $T(\lambda)$

$$S = \frac{k-2}{4\pi} \int_{\partial M_\infty} \left[ \partial_u \lambda \partial_v \lambda + Q R^{(2)} \phi(\lambda) + T(\lambda) \right] . \quad (64)$$

This model is conformal invariant at the quantum level, if the corresponding  $\bar{\beta}$ -functions vanish [38], which are interpreted as equations of motion for these scalar fields

$$\partial^2 \phi = 0 \quad , \quad -\frac{1}{2(k-2)} \partial^2 T - 2T + \partial \phi \partial T = 0 \quad (65)$$

Taking the fields from (63), the first equation is solved trivially by the linear dilaton  $\phi = Q\lambda$ . In the second equation we insert  $T \sim e^{-2\lambda}$  and find for  $Q$

$$Q = \frac{1-k}{k-2} . \quad (66)$$

By redefining  $T \rightarrow e^{(k-2)\phi} T$  the second equation becomes the Klein-Gordon equation

$$\left( \partial^2 - (k-3)^2 \right) T = 0 \quad (67)$$

which is massless for  $k = 3$ . As already mentioned in the supersymmetric case we have to undo the shift in  $k$ , i.e. we have to replace  $k \rightarrow k+2$  and the massless point corresponds to  $k = 1$ . On the other hand,  $k$  was introduced as the radius of the  $S_3$  space measured in  $\alpha'$ , see (6). When expressed in terms of the number of 5-branes ( $m$ ) and KK-monopoles ( $n$ ),  $k = mn$  and the massless case correspond to a single 5-brane and KK-monopole.

Finally, we have to determine the central charge. An easy way to do this, is to calculate the dilaton- $\bar{\beta}$  function, which gives as consequence of Zamolodchikov's c-theorem the central charge ( $\bar{\beta}^\phi = \frac{c}{6}$  at the conformal fixpoint, see [38]). We find

$$c_L = 1 + 6(k-2)Q^2 = \frac{3k}{k-2} - 2 + 6k . \quad (68)$$

where  $\frac{3k}{k-2}$  is the  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  central charge; the “-2” is due to the fact, that both  $\theta$  coordinates have been gauged away and the last  $6k$  contribution corresponds to the improvement term in the energy momentum tensor. In the classical limit ( $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) only the last term contributes and yields  $6k$ . Moreover, the central charge is invariant under the transformation

$$k-2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{k-2} \quad (69)$$

and  $k = 3$  is just the self-dual point. This point coincides with the massless case and we find for the central charge  $c_L = 25$ , which corresponds to the famous  $c_m = 1$  barrier in non-critical string theory. So, at this point we have to expect a phase transition.

Again taking the shift  $k \rightarrow k+2$  for the supersymmetric case the symmetry transformation becomes  $k \rightarrow \frac{1}{k}$ . Since  $k = \frac{l^2}{4\alpha'}$  it can also be written as

$$l \rightarrow \frac{4\alpha'}{l} \quad \text{or} \quad \sqrt{\alpha'} \rightarrow \frac{l^2/4}{\sqrt{\alpha'}} . \quad (70)$$



So it appears as a kind of  $T$ -duality for the cosmological constant  $l$  or, keeping fix the cosmological constant, it is some kind of strong-weak duality (S-duality) in the  $\alpha'$  expansion. But one has to keep in mind, that although it is a symmetry of  $AdS_3$  gravity it is not a symmetry of our string inspired model, where  $k$  has to be integer-valued.

In non-critical string theory this symmetry is subtle, because the Liouville vertex operator appears as conformal factor of the 2-d worldsheet metric and the self-dual point corresponds to a puncture of the worldsheet. However, as discussed in [47] beyond this point “small area divergencies” appear related to non-normalizable states, which spoil the worldsheet interpretation. It is unclear to us to which extend these objections hold in our setup, see also [48].

There are interesting lines for continuations, e.g. it would be interesting to add to the tachyon field additional conformal matter or to use the procedure described in [39] to integrate out the Liouville field  $\lambda$  and to obtain the partition function and to calculate amplitudes.

### 4.3 The CFT at the horizon boundary

We proceed analogous to the case discussed above. Again we consider the boundary condition (53), but this time we have to take into account a different isometry group, which can be determined by analysing the BTZ model as given in (48) and (49). The corresponding gauge group elements are given by

$$g = e^{\lambda T_2} e^{(\frac{r_+ - r_-}{l^2})v T_1} \quad , \quad \bar{g} = e^{-\lambda T_2} e^{(\frac{r_+ + r_-}{l^2})u T_1} \quad . \quad (71)$$

The isometries of the BTZ black hole correspond again to reparametrizations of  $u$  and  $v$  corresponding to the gauge transformation

$$g \rightarrow g e^{\alpha T_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{g} \rightarrow \bar{g} e^{\alpha T_1} \quad . \quad (72)$$

The crucial difference to the CFT at the asymptotic boundary is, that the group direction has changed, which corresponds now to deformations in the  $T_1$  direction. Note that using the identity

$$T_1 = g_0^{-1} T_2 g_0 \quad , \quad g_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (73)$$

one can replace everywhere  $T_1$  by  $T_2$ , i.e. both directions are equivalent. After combining both chiral WZW models as in (58), we have to mod out this direction. The corresponding gauged WZW is given by [40, 43]

$$\begin{aligned} S_{WZW} \rightarrow S_{WZW} + \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{\partial M_\infty} [a_v(\partial_u \theta_L + \cosh \lambda \partial_u \theta_R) + \\ + a_u(\partial_v \theta_R + \cosh \lambda \partial_u \theta_R) - a_u a_v (\cosh \lambda + 1)] \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

This model has been extensively studied as a model describing 2-d black holes and it can also be written as a  $\sigma$ -model

$$S \sim \int d^2\xi \left[ \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial^\alpha X^\nu G_{\mu\nu} + \alpha' R^{(2)} \phi(X) \right] \quad (75)$$

but now with a 2-d target space  $X^\mu = \{\theta_2, \lambda\}$ . In order to obtain the metric  $G_{\mu\nu}$  one fixes the gauge and integrates out the gauge fields  $a$  and  $\bar{a}$  in (74). It follows that one  $\theta$  angle drops out. But using this approach one obtains only the lowest order metric. An alternative approach, discussed in [43], is to consider the  $L_0$  operator as a target space Laplacian. As consequence the mass shell condition of the tachyon vertex operator becomes an analogous Klein-Gordon equation as given in (67). The corresponding exact background metric and dilaton [43] read

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= 2(k-2) [d\lambda^2 - B^{-2}(r) d\theta_2^2] , \\ e^{-2\phi} &= B(r) \cosh \lambda \sinh \lambda \quad , \quad B^2(r) = (\coth^2 \lambda - \frac{2}{k}) \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

However, the BTZ black hole solution is dilaton-free and also the metric is asymptotically not flat. Where is the 2-d black hole then? Following the procedure discussed in [30] we T-dualize the BTZ black hole (8) over the coordinate  $y$ . Keeping in mind that we have a non-zero antisymmetric tensor  $B_{0y} = \frac{r_-^2}{l^2}$  (see (17) and remembering that the  $\epsilon$  tensor contains  $\sqrt{g} = \frac{r}{l}$ ) and after diagonalizing the T-dual metric by

$$t \rightarrow \frac{l}{\sqrt{r_+^2 - r_-^2}}(y - t) \quad , \quad y \rightarrow \frac{1}{l\sqrt{r_+^2 - r_-^2}}(r_-^2 y - r_+^2 t)$$

one finds the black string solution [31] (see also [32])

$$ds^2 = -(1 - \frac{r_+^2}{r^2}) dt^2 + (1 - \frac{r_-^2}{r^2}) dy^2 + e^{2V} dr^2 . \quad (77)$$

Moreover, gauged WZW-models correspond to compactifications of one direction. So, after compactifying  $y$  and transforming

$$e^{2V} dr^2 = l^2 d\lambda^2 \quad \text{with} \quad r^2 = r_+^2 \cosh^2 \lambda - r_-^2 \sinh^2 \lambda \quad (78)$$

one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= l^2 d\lambda^2 - \tilde{B}^{-2}(\lambda) dt^2 , \\ e^{-2\phi} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}(r_+^2 - r_-^2)}{l^2} \tilde{B}(\lambda) \cosh \lambda \sinh \lambda \quad , \quad \tilde{B}^2(\lambda) = \frac{r_+^2 \coth^2 \lambda - r_-^2}{r_+^2 - r_-^2} , \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

which (up to constant rescalings) coincides exactly with the metric (76) from the conformal field theory. Note, that in this 2-d model the dilaton corresponds to the (dual) compactification radius of the string direction ( $\sim g_{yy}$ ).

It follows from the 2-d black hole solution that this result is valid only in the non-extreme case. For the extreme case one has to make different coordinate transformations and one does not obtain a 2-d black hole. Instead, one finds travelling waves along an extremal string [45]. But also this model is an exact CFT [46].

It is interesting to note, that already the classical model corresponds to an exact CFT, not only in the extremal but also in the non-extremal case. Therefore the geometry describes an exact background in all orders in  $\alpha'$ ; only the parameters (like  $k$ , the central charge or the cosmological constant) have to be renormalized. This renormalization is however obvious if one keeps in mind, that  $\alpha'$  corrections correspond e.g. to higher curvature corrections. The curvature tensor and torsion (see (17)) of the 3-d model are given by

$$R_{\mu\rho\nu\lambda} = -\frac{1}{l^2}(g_{\mu\nu}g_{\rho\lambda} - g_{\mu\lambda}g_{\rho\nu}) \quad , \quad H_{\mu\nu\rho} = \frac{2}{l}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \quad . \quad (80)$$

Both quantities are covariantly constant and any possible corrections to the equations of motion (e.g. from  $R^2$ ) are proportional to the lowest order equations, because e.g.  $R^n \sim R$  or  $(R^m)_{\mu\nu} \sim R_{\mu\nu}$  for arbitrary powers  $n$  and  $m$  of the curvature tensor. Thus, the exact equations of motion (to all orders in  $\alpha'$ ) have to have the same structure as the lowest order equations<sup>3</sup>.

## 5 Comparison with results from world-volume theory

According to recent developments, the supergravity on  $AdS_3 \times S^3$  should be dual to a two-dimensional superconformal field theory, which is realized as the world volume theory of a brane. Here, we are dealing with only NS charges and the dual conformal field theory is realized on the worldvolume of the fundamental string. The near horizon limit on the supergravity side corresponds to the infra-red limit of the brane theory. If we embed our configuration in a IIB context, we know that the theory on a IIB fundamental string is the theory of a vector multiplet. In addition to the string, we have a NS-5-brane in our setup. Therefore, we are in the S-dual situation of the D5-D1 system studied in [19]. The 5-branes lead to fundamental hypermultiplets in the gauge theory. The metric on the Coulomb branch of a  $U(1)$  gauge theory (which translates to a single string in terms of branes) with  $k$  hypermultiplets is given by [50]

$$ds^2 = |d\phi|^2 \left( \frac{1}{2e^2} + \frac{k}{2|\phi|^2} \right) , \quad (81)$$

where  $\phi$  denotes the scalars of the vector multiplet. The first term is the classical metric and the second term denotes a one loop contribution. In a setup with 1-branes and 5-branes, the number of 5-branes corresponds to the number of hypermultiplets. Hence,

---

<sup>3</sup>The dilaton and the tachyon of the conformal field theories are scalars coming from the compactification. The 3-d model is given only by the metric and antisymmetric tensor. The exactness of this model can also be understood from the fact that the space is paralizible, i.e. the generalized curvature tensor vanishes [38].

the 5-brane metric is recovered from the gauge theory. In our particular setup we also added a magnetic monopole, so that we are dealing with 5-branes at orbifolds. Thus, the gauge symmetry on the 5-branes becomes  $U(p_2)^{p_3}$ , instead of  $U(p_2)$ . This is in agreement with the form of the metric on the supergravity side, where  $p_2$  and  $p_3$  always enter together as a product. The Coulomb branch of the gauge theory describes the motion of the string transversal to the 5-brane. In addition, we have a Higgs branch describing the motions inside the 5-brane. This is the relevant phase in the IR limit. The decoupling of Coulomb and Higgs branch was interpreted in the context of Matrix theory as the decoupling of the 5-brane theory from the bulk physics [51]. The situation of a wrapped NS-5-brane was considered in [52]. Here, it was argued that the relevant conformal field theory is a  $\sigma$ -model, whose target space is a symmetric product of the internal space. In our particular setup we finally add a monopole. As a consequence the  $S^3$  is modded out by a discrete subgroup and supersymmetry is partially broken. In a 4-dimensional context it was shown in [53] that modding out the  $S^3$  on the supergravity side corresponds to “orbifolding” the conformal field theory on the brane. A similar procedure should be applied in the two-dimensional case, too.

## 6 Relation to the black hole entropy

Very recently the  $AdS/CFT$  correspondence shed some new light on the microscopic derivation of the macroscopic Bekenstein-Hawking entropy [18, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24, 28, 33, 34, 35]. The reason is, that it is sometimes straightforward to count states of CFTs and a lot of black hole solutions give rise to a background metric of the form  $AdS \times M$  near the horizon, where  $M$  denotes a compact space. If one assumes that the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy should be accounted for by microstates near the horizon, then it is obvious that the  $AdS/CFT$  correspondence can play an important role in order to find a statistical interpretation of the black hole entropy.

In the BPS limit the leading part of the classical black hole entropy coming from string theory is given by

$$S = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} c_{tot} N}, \quad (82)$$

where  $N_L \equiv N$  denotes the number operator and  $c_{tot}$  the “effective” central charge of the underlying CFTs. The oscillator number  $N$  can be obtained from the level matching condition and, for the particular setup discussed in the previous sections, one obtains<sup>4</sup>

$$N = 1 + q_0 q_1. \quad (83)$$

In order to map this heterotic result to the type II side, one has to perform the symplectic transformation  $q_1 \rightarrow p^1$ .

---

<sup>4</sup>For a detailed discussion see [22]. Note also that we take  $\alpha' = 1$  in this section.

In [22] it has been argued that the effective central charge is of the general form

$$c_{tot} = 6k + \beta + \frac{\gamma}{k} \quad (84)$$

in the supersymmetric case. Here the first term denotes the classical ( $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) central charge that comes entirely from the Liouville-model living at the outer boundary of  $AdS_3$ . The constant shift, parameterized by  $\beta$ , has been calculated by Maldacena, Strominger and Witten (MSW) in [54]. In [22] it has been shown that additional subleading contributions, coming from the CFTs at the inner boundary, i.e. the horizon of the BTZ black hole, and the outer boundary of  $AdS_3$ , must be taken into account, too. For the particular example given in [22] it turned out that the black hole entropy had a  $k \leftrightarrow 1/k$  exchange symmetry ( $\gamma = 6$ ) due to this additional subleading contributions to the effective central charge.

Since the inclusion of all CFTs should yield an exact formula for the black hole entropy to all orders in  $\alpha'$ , it is challenging to test the proposal of [22] for the entropy by an independent field theoretical calculation of the macroscopic Bekenstein-Hawking entropy including higher-order curvature corrections.

In doing so we follow the approach of [55]. We choose as an example the heterotic  $S$ - $T$ - $U$  model [56] on  $T^6$  with  $N = 4$  supersymmetry. As result we obtain:

- (i) General case: The moduli obtain explicit higher-order corrections, but the entropy contains no explicit corrections. Only the charge  $q_0$  obtains implicit higher-order corrections, i.e.  $q_0$  gets “renormalized”. The results are all consistent, but strictly speaking the approach does not “prove” anything.
- (ii) Special case: At special points in moduli space one obtains a pure (subleading) logarithmic black hole entropy [57]. It follows that higher-order curvature corrections (non-perturbative instanton corrections) can stabilize black hole solutions.

## 6.1 General formulae

Black holes in the context of  $N = 2$  supersymmetry and their corresponding entropies appeared as solutions of the equations of motion of  $N = 2$  Maxwell-Einstein supergravity action, where the bosonic part of the action contains at most two space-time derivatives. This part of  $N = 2$  supergravity actions can be encoded in a holomorphic prepotential  $F^{(0)}(\hat{X})$ , which is a function of the scalar fields  $\hat{X}$  belonging to the vector multiplets. The  $N = 2$  effective action of strings and M-theory contains in addition an infinite number of higher-derivative terms involving higher-order products of the Riemann tensor and the vector field strengths. A subset of these couplings in  $N = 2$  supergravity can be described by a holomorphic function  $F(\hat{X}, \hat{W}^2)$ , where the chiral superfield  $\hat{W}^2 = \hat{W}_{\mu\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\nu}$  is the Weyl superfield [58]. Its lowest component is the graviphoton field strength (in form of an auxiliary field  $T_{\mu\nu}^-$ ). In the following we expand  $F(\hat{X}, \hat{W}^2)$  to first order in  $\hat{W}^2$ , i.e. we consider perturbation theory in  $\hat{W}^2$ . In order to discuss the black hole entropy and the stabilisation equations it is convenient to introduce new quantities  $(X^I, W^2) =$

$(\bar{Z}\hat{X}^I, \bar{Z}\hat{W}^2)$ , where  $Z$  denotes the graviphoton charge. Thus, we consider a general expansion of the form

$$F(X, W^2) = \sum_{g=0} F^{(g)}(X) W^{2g} \quad (85)$$

In the following we will not solve the equations for the full black hole solution. Instead we will impose the stabilisation equations. The metric of the black hole solution is given by

$$ds^2 = -e^{2U(r)} dt^2 + e^{-2U(r)} dx^m dx^m \quad (86)$$

and the metric function reads

$$e^{-2U} = Z\bar{Z} = i \left( \bar{X}^I F_I - \bar{F}_I X^I \right) \quad (87)$$

The stabilisation equations are given by [59], [60]

$$i(X^I - \bar{X}^I) = \tilde{H}^I, \quad i(F_I - \bar{F}_I) = H_I \quad (88)$$

with harmonic functions

$$\tilde{H}^I = \tilde{h}^I + \frac{p^I}{r}, \quad H_I = h_I + \frac{q_I}{r}. \quad (89)$$

Considering the lowest order ( $g = 0$ ), it has been shown in [59], [60] that these conditions are sufficient for solutions of  $N = 2$  supergravity breaking half of  $N = 2$  supersymmetry, i.e. these solutions solve the equations of motion, the Bianchi identities and give rise to Killing spinors, such that the corresponding background is purely bosonic. If the charges of the harmonic functions satisfy additional constraints, these solutions represent black holes. The corresponding entropy of spherically symmetric black holes is given by

$$S_{BH} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \pi r^2 e^{-2U(r)}. \quad (90)$$

Another important point is to determine  $W^2$  (at least on the horizon): As long as we are only interested in the first order correction (linear in  $W^2$ ) we can expand

$$T_{\mu\nu}^- = M_I F_{\mu\nu}^{I-} - L^I G_{I\mu\nu}^- \quad (91)$$

with  $G_{I\mu\nu}^- = G_{I\mu\nu}^{(0)-} + G_{I\mu\nu}^{(1)-}(T^-)$ , i.e. (91) is an implicit equation. However, if we assume<sup>5</sup> a  $1/r^2$  dependence of  $T_{\mu\nu}^-$ , then it follows that the only impact of the higher order

---

<sup>5</sup>Here we consider  $T^-$  to remain the graviphoton field strength. This assumption does not hold necessarily in the “full” theory. To justify this assumption and/or to compute additional gravitational corrections one needs the supersymmetry transformation laws in the presence of the Weyl-multiplet. To our knowledge these are unknown up to now. Therefore our approach bases on perturbation theory in  $W^2$ . In the following we will not stress this further, but the reader should keep in mind that, following [55], our results hold, strictly speaking, only on the horizon without additional corrections.

corrections can be a change in the “effective charge” (= renormalization). Thus, we use the “renormalized”  $T_{\mu\nu}^-$ , where the higher order corrections have been already taken into account. Moreover, in general we have for  $W^2$  on the horizon

$$W_{|\text{hor}}^2 = \frac{x + iy}{r^2}. \quad (92)$$

Here the functions  $(x, y)$  depend on the charges and represent the back reaction of the non-trivial  $W^2$ -background on the black hole solution.

## 6.2 Example: The heterotic S-T-U model on $T^6$

The “generalized prepotential”, including higher-order curvature corrections in terms of the Weyl-multiplet [61], reads

$$F(X, W^2) = -i \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} (X^0)^{2-2g} \mathcal{F}^{(g)}(S, T, U) W^{2g} \quad (93)$$

with classical prepotential  $\mathcal{F}^{(0)} = -STU$  and special coordinates  $S, T, U = -iz^{1,2,3}$ . The full S-duality invariant gravitational coupling in  $N = 4$  string theory is given by [62]

$$\frac{1}{16\pi} \text{Re} \int \frac{1}{2\pi i} \log \eta^{24}(iS) \text{tr}(R - i * R)^2 \quad (94)$$

Using the instanton-expansion in  $q_S = e^{-2\pi S}$

$$\log \eta^{24}(iS) = -2\pi S - 24 \left[ q_S + \frac{3}{2} q_S^2 + \frac{4}{3} q_S^3 + \dots \right] \quad (95)$$

one obtains the S-duality invariant form of the gravitational coupling in the weak coupling regime [62, 63]. It follows that the corresponding higher order gravitational couplings of the effective action are encoded in the gravitational coupling

$$\mathcal{F}^{(1)}(S) = -\frac{a}{2\pi} \log \eta^{24}(iS). \quad (96)$$

Here we take, as usual,  $a = 24$ . The gravitational coupling function represents an infinite sum of gravitational instanton effects and can be associated with Euclidean fivebranes wrapped on  $T^6$  [62]. These fivebranes are the neutral fivebranes of heterotic string theory or equivalently the zero size fivebranes in M-theory [64, 65].

The periods corresponding to the gravitational coupling function are given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= -iX^0 STU + i(X^0)^{-1} W^2 S \mathcal{F}_S^{(1)} \\ F_1 &= X^0 TU - \mathcal{F}_S^{(1)} (X^0)^{-1} W^2, \\ F_2 &= X^0 SU, \\ F_3 &= X^0 ST. \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

If we restrict ourselves to axion-free configurations  $\bar{X}^0 X^A + \bar{X}^A X^0 = 0$  the stabilisation equations yield the following set of algebraic equations.

$$\begin{aligned}
H_0 &= (X^0 + \bar{X}^0)STU - \left( \frac{W^2}{X^0} + \frac{\bar{W}^2}{\bar{X}^0} \right) S\mathcal{F}_S^{(1)} \\
H_1 &= i(X^0 - \bar{X}^0)TU - i\mathcal{F}_S^{(1)} \left( \frac{W^2}{X^0} - \frac{\bar{W}^2}{\bar{X}^0} \right), \\
H_2 &= i(X^0 - \bar{X}^0)SU, \\
H_3 &= i(X^0 - \bar{X}^0)ST.
\end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

Note that the metric-function  $e^{-2U}$  can be expanded “formally” in  $W^2$ , i.e.  $e^{-2U} = e^{-2U_0} + e^{-2U_1}$  with

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{-2U_0} &= 8 |X^0|^2 STU, \\
e^{-2U_1} &= -2 \left( \frac{W^2}{X^0} \bar{X}^0 + \frac{\bar{W}^2}{\bar{X}^0} X^0 \right) S\mathcal{F}_S^{(1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

This is an implicit expansion, since the moduli still depend on the harmonic functions and the Weyl-multiplet. In order to find the explicit expansion of the metric function in terms of the Weyl-multiplet it is necessary to solve the stabilisation equations.

### 6.2.1 Macroscopic entropy

Now we will consider as an example axion-free configurations with  $X^0 - \bar{X}^0 = 0$  restricting ourselves to the leading correction in  $W^2$ , only. Moreover, in order to take the back reaction into account we keep  $W^2$  to be complex and introduce  $w_{\pm} = W^2 \pm \bar{W}^2$ . For this particular configuration we obtain

$$S, T, U = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\tilde{H}^{1,2,3}}{X^0}, \quad \tilde{H}^0 = H_{2,3} = 0. \tag{100}$$

In addition one finds an algebraic equation to eliminate the first derivative of the gravitational coupling function

$$\mathcal{F}_S^{(1)} = i \frac{X^0 H_1}{w_-} \tag{101}$$

Moreover one obtains a quadratic equation in  $X^0$

$$(X^0)^2 - \frac{i}{2} X^0 \frac{w_+}{w_-} \frac{H_1 \tilde{H}^1}{H_0} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\tilde{H}^1 \tilde{H}^2 \tilde{H}^3}{H_0} = 0 \tag{102}$$

with solution

$$X^0 = \frac{i}{4} \frac{w_+}{w_-} \frac{H_1 \tilde{H}^1}{H_0} \pm \sqrt{-\frac{1}{4} \frac{\tilde{H}^1 \tilde{H}^2 \tilde{H}^3}{H_0} + \left( \frac{i}{4} \frac{w_+}{w_-} \frac{H_1 \tilde{H}^1}{H_0} \right)^2} \tag{103}$$



The corresponding solution to order  $W^2$  reads

$$\begin{aligned} X^0 &= -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\frac{\tilde{H}^1 \tilde{H}^2 \tilde{H}^3}{H_0}} (1 + \Delta), \\ \Delta &= -\frac{i}{2} \frac{w_+}{w_-} \sqrt{-\frac{(H_1 \tilde{H}^1)^2}{H_0 \tilde{H}^1 \tilde{H}^2 \tilde{H}^3}} + \mathcal{O}(W^4) \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

If one takes  $H_0 \equiv -(h_0 + \frac{q_0}{r})$  it follows for the fixed values of the moduli on the horizon to order  $W^2$

$$(S, T, U)|_{\text{hor}} = \sqrt{\frac{(q_0 p^{1,2,3})^2}{q_0 D}} (1 - \delta). \quad (105)$$

with

$$\Delta|_{\text{hor}} \equiv \delta = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{x}{y} \sqrt{\frac{(q_1 p^1)^2}{q_0 D}}, \quad D \equiv p^1 p^2 p^3. \quad (106)$$

Note that the moduli obtain corrections of order  $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{k})$  with  $k = p^2 p^3$ . Straightforward calculation yields the result that the black hole entropy does not receive explicit corrections of order  $W^2$  and is, therefore, independent of  $q_1$

$$S_{BH} = 2\pi \sqrt{q_0 D}. \quad (107)$$

Another example with the same result for the entropy has been given in [55]. It follows that the corrections to the black hole entropy are only implicit, i.e. the charges are “renormalized”.

### 6.2.2 Microscopic entropy

In order to obtain the corresponding microscopic entropy we follow the general concept of a “renormalized” charge  $q_0$  in contrast to the unrenormalized bare charge  $q_0^{(0)}$  valid for classical prepotential  $F^{(0)}$ . The magnetic charges remain unrenormalized if one includes higher order curvature corrections. Note that we will “match” the charge  $q_0$  to the microscopic entropy coming from CFTs [22]. The renormalized charge reads in general

$$q_0 = b_0 + q_0^{(0)} + b(q, p) q_0^{(0)}, \quad (108)$$

where  $b_0$  parametrizes a constant shift and  $b(q, p)$  is in general an unknown function depending on the magnetic charges and  $q_0^{(0)}$ . Note that this ansatz is justified by a general Taylor expansion. However, we will consider  $b(q, p) = b(p)$  in the following. In the context of low energy effective actions with  $N = 2$  supersymmetry, as discussed in

[55], this restriction is consistent in the large  $q_0^{(0)}$ -limit for the following reason: For  $q_0^{(0)}$  we have  $b_0 \rightarrow 0$  and using the MSW formula [54]

$$q_0 D = \frac{1}{6} q_0^{(0)} (6D + c_{2A} p^A) \quad (109)$$

one obtains  $b(p) = c_{2A} p^A / 6D$ . Thus we find the result of [55] for the renormalization of  $q_0^{(0)}$ :

$$q_0 = q_0^{(0)} \left( 1 + \frac{c_{2A} p^A}{6D} \right). \quad (110)$$

Assuming now, that the function  $b$  is in general independent of the electric charge, one can find the proposed renormalization of  $q_0^{(0)}$  coming from CFTs [22] as follows:

$$q_0 D = \frac{1}{6} N c_{tot} \quad (111)$$

with  $N = 1 + q_0^{(0)} p^1$ . Straightforward calculation yields

$$b_0 = \frac{c_{tot}}{6k p^1}, \quad b(p) = \frac{c_{tot}}{6k} - 1. \quad (112)$$

Finally, in this setup one obtains the following renormalization of  $q_0^{(0)}$  including higher-order curvature corrections

$$q_0 = \left( q_0^{(0)} + \frac{1}{p^1} \right) \frac{c_{tot}}{6k}. \quad (113)$$

Note that the precise values of  $(\beta, \gamma)$  do not play any role in this setup.

### 6.2.3 The gravitational instanton phase

Now we will consider the particular weak coupling regime including instanton corrections of order  $\mathcal{O}(q_S)$  with  $w_- = 0$ . In order to separate the instanton correction we consider the limit  $H_0 \rightarrow 0$ . This configuration represents a special point in moduli space, which shows that gravitational instantons and/or higher-order curvature corrections can yield logarithmic subleading contributions to black hole entropies. Thus, in this subsection we discuss something new, i.e. the following discussion is not strongly related to the rest of this article.

Solving the stabilisation equations one obtains (without expansion to order  $W^2$ )

$$X^0 = \pi \frac{\tilde{H}^1}{\log\left(\frac{1-\Delta}{24}\right)}, \quad \Delta = \frac{\tilde{H}^2 \tilde{H}^3}{2aw_+}. \quad (114)$$

The solution for the moduli  $S, T, U$  follows straightforward and the entropy reads

$$S_{BH} = \frac{k}{2} \log \left| \frac{24}{1 - \delta(k)} \right| \quad (115)$$

with  $\Delta_{\text{hor}} \equiv \delta(k)$ . In this particular limit in moduli space the classical entropy vanishes and the black hole enters a “gravitational instanton phase”. The corresponding entropy contains only logarithmic subleading contributions and is independent of the oscillator number  $N$  provided  $w_+$  is independent of  $N$ . It follows that the corresponding degeneracy of states  $d$  of the underlying quantum theory is given by polynomial subleading contributions, only:

$$d(k) = e^{S_{BH}} = \left| \frac{24}{1 - \delta(k)} \right|^{k/2} \quad (116)$$

Moreover, in the classical limit the degeneracy of states vanishes

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(k) = 0. \quad (117)$$

This shows that the inclusion of fivebrane instantons yields non-perturbative gravitational contributions to the black hole entropy. Here we included for convenience only the first-order non-perturbative instanton correction, but in general the complete S-duality invariant contributions have to be taken into account.

This result suggests the following geometrical picture: The classical black hole can be described by the classical background and the corresponding action. In the limit where the classical black hole area shrinks to zero higher order curvature corrections must be taken into account, too. In this limit the black hole enters a gravitational instanton phase and the corresponding area is much smaller than the classical one but non-vanishing in general, unless the black hole itself “disappears”, i.e. the level  $k$  becomes zero. It follows that the black hole is extremely stable, i.e. higher-order non-perturbative instanton corrections can stabilize a black hole solution.

## 7 Conclusions

In this article we discussed the CFT for  $AdS_3$  gravity with a spatial annulus geometry, which appears naturally if a BTZ black hole is excited. We paid special attention to an exact treatment, i.e. we did not assume a large  $N$  and/or  $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$  expansion. As a concrete model we considered the near-horizon geometry of a non-extreme 4-charge configuration comprising a fundamental string with wave modes, a 5-brane and Taub-NUT soliton. The spherical part is given by an  $S_3/Z(m)$  geometry which is described by a CFT given by an  $SU(2)/U(1)$ -WZW model. In the  $AdS_3$  part the momentum modes excite a BTZ black hole and therefore the spatial geometry has two boundaries: The asymptotic one and the horizon of the black hole. A careful treatment shows that one

finds two different CFTs at these two boundaries: At the asymptotic boundary it is a Liouville model and at the horizon a 2-d black hole. Both CFT's can be expressed by an  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  coset, but the  $U(1)$  that has to be gauged differs; on the horizon it is spatial whereas at the infinity it is a lightcone direction. The BTZ black hole can therefore be seen as a solution interpolating between these two cosets on the boundaries. Thus, there are two types of boundary states: States living on the asymptotic boundary and on the BTZ-horizon. Both types of states contribute to the total central charge, which is

$$c_{tot} = c_{SU} - 1 + c_{2d-BH} + c_L = \left(\frac{3k}{k+2} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{3k}{k-2} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{3k}{k-2} - 2 + 6k\right). \quad (118)$$

It follows that in the classical limit  $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$  or  $k \rightarrow \infty$  only the Liouville part on the asymptotic boundary contributes and gives the well-known result  $c_{class} = 6k$ .

An interesting observation is that in the supersymmetric case the  $k$  dependence of the  $SU(2)$  model cancels with the  $k$  dependence of the 2-d black hole. The central charge becomes  $c = 6(k + \frac{1}{k}) + const.$ , which is invariant under  $k \rightarrow 1/k$ . As discussed below eq. (69), at the self-dual point a “massless tachyon” appears and it is interesting to note, that the  $1/k$  term produces an energy gap as discussed in [66] (note that, when applied to black holes, the central charge is directly related to the minimal mass).

Our 2-boundary setup may also imply an interesting worldvolume interpretation. From the worldvolume point of view, the radial coordinate of the  $AdS$  space sets the energy scale and the boundary CFTs of the  $AdS$  space are expected to be dual to a worldvolume CFT at the renormalization group fixpoint (vanishing  $\beta$ -functions). Therefore every boundary CFT corresponds to a different fixpoint in the worldvolume theory and moving from one fixpoint to another corresponds to going from one  $AdS$  boundary to another.

In the second part we tested the proposal of a statistical (microscopic) interpretation of the (macroscopic) Bekenstein-Hawking entropy coming from CFTs. In particular we used the  $AdS/CFT$  correspondence and showed by an independent field theoretical calculation that the results are consistent. However, strictly speaking the results presented do not “prove” anything, since our approach, given in [55], is rather limited. On the other hand, since all the results are consistent, we believe that our approach to obtain a statistical interpretation of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, including all  $\alpha'$  corrections, represents a good perspective for future investigations.

Apart from these results we have presented a special limit in moduli space, where the classical black hole entropy vanishes. However, including non-perturbative gravitational instantons the Bekenstein-Hawking is non-vanishing, depends only on the level  $k$  and is logarithmic. This result shows that gravitational instantons can stabilize a black hole solution and that logarithmic subleading black entropies can in principle arise in models with  $N > 2$  supersymmetry, too.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank S. Förste, H. Dorn, H.-J. Otto, M. Walton and M. Gaberdiel for discussions.

## References

- [1] E. Bergshoeff, M.J. Duff, C.N. Pope and E. Sezgin, Supersymmetric supermembrane vacua and singletons, *Phys. Lett.* **B199** (1987) 69; H. Nicolai, E. Sezgin and Y. Tanii, *Conformally invariant supersymmetric field theories on  $S^p \times S^1$  and super- $p$ -branes*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B305** (1988) 483.
- [2] J. Maldacena, *The large  $N$  limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, [hep-th/9711200](#).
- [3] P. Claus, R. Kallosh and A. Van Proeyen, *M-5-brane and superconformal tensor multiplet in 6 dimensions*, [hep-th/9711161](#).
- [4] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, [hep-th/9802150](#); S. Gubser, I. Klebanov and A. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string-theory*, [hep-th/9802109](#); M. Green and T. Banks, *Nonperturbative effects in  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  string theory and  $d=4$  Susy Yang Mills*, [hep-th 9804170](#).
- [5] J. Maldacena, *Wilson loops in large  $N$  field theories*, [hep-th/9803002](#); S.J.-Rey and J. Yee, *Macroscopic strings as heavy quarks in large  $N$  gauge theory and anti-de Sitter supergravity*, [hep-th/9803001](#).
- [6] G.W. Gibbons, G.T. Horowitz and P.K. Townsend, *Higher-dimensional resolution of dilatonic black hole singularities*, [hep-th/9410073](#).
- [7] Metsaev and A.A. Tseytlin, *Type IIB superstring action in  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  background*, [hep-th/9805028](#) ;  
R. Kallosh and A. Rajaraman, *Vacua of M-theory and string theory*, [hep-th/9805217](#).
- [8] D. Gross and H. Ooguri, *Aspects of large  $N$  gauge theory dynamics as seen by string theory*, [hep-th/9805129](#).
- [9] J.D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *Central charges in the canonical realization of asymptotic symmetries: an example from 3-d gravity*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 207.
- [10] A. Achucarro and P.K. Townsend, *A Chern-Simons action for three-dimensional anti-de Sitter supergravity theories*, *Phys. Lett.* **B180** (1986) 89; E. Witten,  *$2 + 1$  dimensional gravity as an exactly soluble system*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B311** (1988/89) 46.
- [11] G. Moore and N. Seiberg, *Taming the conformal zoo*, *Phys. Lett.* **B220** (1989) 422.
- [12] S. Carlip, *Inducing Liouville theory from topologically massive gravity*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B362** (1991) 111.

- [13] O. Coussaert, M. Henneaux and P. van Driel, *The asymptotic dynamics of three-dimensional Einstein gravity with negative cosmological constant*, Class. Quant. Grav. **12** (1995) 2961, [gr-qc/9506019](#).
- [14] M. Banados, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, *The black hole in three dimensional space time*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 1849, [hep-th 9204099](#).
- [15] M. Banados, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli, *Geometry of the 2 + 1 black hole*, Phys. Rev. **D48** (1993) 1506;  
D. Cangemi, M. Leblanc and R.B. Mann, *Gauge formulation of the spinning black hole in 2 + 1 dimensional anti-de Sitter space*, Phys. Rev. **D48** (1993) 3606.
- [16] S. Carlip, *The Statistical mechanics of the (2+1)-dimensional black hole*, Phys. Rev. **D51** (1995) 632, [gr-qc/9409052](#).
- [17] D. Birmingham, I. Sachs and S. Sen, *Entropy of three-dimensional black holes in string theory*, [hep-th/9801019](#).
- [18] T. Lee, *(2+1) dimensional black holes and (1+1) dimensional quantum gravity*, [hep-th/9706174](#); *Topological Ward identity and anti-de Sitter space/CFT correspondence*, [hep-th/9805182](#).
- [19] J. Maldacena and A. Strominger, *AdS<sub>3</sub> black holes and a stringy exclusions principle*, [hep-th/9804085](#).
- [20] K. Behrndt, *Branes in N=2, D = 4 supergravity and the conformal field theory limit*, [hep-th/9801058](#).
- [21] M. Banados, T. Brotz and M. Ortiz, *Boundary dynamics and the statistical mechanics of the 2+1 dimensional black hole*, [hep-th/9802076](#).
- [22] K. Behrndt, I. Brunner and I. Gaida, *Entropy and conformal field theories of AdS<sub>3</sub> models*, [hep-th/9804159](#).
- [23] M. Cvetič and F. Larsen, *Near horizon geometry of rotating black holes in five-dimensions*, [hep-th/9805097](#).
- [24] A. Strominger, *Black hole entropy from near-horizon microstates*, [hep-th 9712251](#).
- [25] O. Coussaert and M. Henneaux, *Supersymmetry of the (2+1) black holes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72** (1994) 183, [hep-th/9310194](#).
- [26] J. de Boer, *6-d supergravity on S<sub>3</sub> × AdS<sub>3</sub> and 2-d conformal field theory*, [hep-th/9806104](#).
- [27] S. Hwang, *Unitarity of strings and noncompact hermitian symmetric spaces*, [hep-th/9806049](#).

- [28] S. Carlip, *What we don't know about BTZ black hole entropy*, [hep-th/9806026](#).
- [29] S. Deger, A. Kaya, E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, *Spectrum of  $D = 6$ ,  $N=4$  supergravity on  $AdS$  in three-dimensions  $\times S^3$* , [hep-th/9804166](#).
- [30] G.T. Horowitz and D.L. Welch, *Exact three-dimensional black holes in string theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 328, [hep-th/9302126](#), N. Kaloper *Miense of the three-dimensional black hole*, Phys. Rev. **D48** (1993) 2598, [hep-th/9303007](#).
- [31] J.H. Horne and G.T. Horowitz *Exact black string solutions in three-dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. **B368** (1992) 444, [hep-th/9108001](#).
- [32] K. Sfetsos, *Conformally exact results for  $SL(2, R) \times SO(1, 1)(D-2)/SO(1, 1)$  coset models*, Nucl. Phys. **B389** (1993) 424, [hep-th/9206048](#).
- [33] N. Kaloper, *Entropy count for extremal three-dimensional black strings*, [hep-th/9804062](#).
- [34] M. Banados, A. Gomberoff and C. Martinez, *Anti-de Sitter space and black holes*, [hep-th/9805087](#).
- [35] R. Emparan and I. Sachs, *Quantization of  $AdS(3)$  black holes in external fields*, [hep-th/9806122](#).
- [36] S.W. Hawking and G.F.R. Ellis, *The large scale structure of space-time*, Cambridge University Press 1973.
- [37] A.M. Polyakov and P.B. Wiegmann, *Goldstone fields in two-dimensions with multivalued actions*, Phys. Lett. **B141** (1984) 223.
- [38] A.A. Tseytlin, *Sigma model approach to string theory*, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. **A4** (1989) 1257
- [39] M. Goulian and M. Li, *Correlation functions in Liouville theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66** (1991) 2051; H. Dorn and H.-J. Otto, *Two and three point functions in Liouville theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B429** (1994) 375, [hep-th/9403141](#)
- [40] E. Witten, *String theory and black holes*, Phys. Rev. **D44** (1991) 314.
- [41] A. Alekseev and S. Shatashvili, *Path integral quantization of the coadjoint orbits of the Virasoro group and 2-d gravity*, Nucl. Phys. **B323** (1989) 719; L. O'RaiFeartaigh and V.V. Sreedhar, *Path integral formulation of the conformal  $WZW \rightarrow$  Liouville reduction*, [hep-th/9802077](#).
- [42] M. Cvetič and D. Youm, *Saturated and nonextreme states in abelian Kaluza-Klein theory and effective  $N=4$  supersymmetric string vacua*, [hep-th/9508058](#); M. Cvetič and A.A. Tseytlin, *Solitonic strings and BPS saturated dyonic black holes*, Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996) 5619, [hep-th/9512031](#);

- [43] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, *String propagation in a black hole geometry*, Nucl. Phys. **B371** (1992) 269.
- [44] D.A. Lowe and A. Strominger, *Exact 4-d dyonic black holes and Bertotti-Robinson space-times in string theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73** (1994) 1468, [hep-th/9403186](#).
- [45] D. Garfinkle, *Black string traveling waves*, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 4286, [gr-qc/9209002](#).
- [46] A.A. Tseytlin and G.T. Horowitz, *A New class of exact solutions in string theory*, Phys. Rev. **D51** (1995) 2896, [hep-th/9409021](#).
- [47] N. Seiberg, *Notes on quantum Liouville theory and quantum gravity*, Prog.Theor.Phys.Suppl. **102** (90) 319.
- [48] V. Balasubramanian, P. Kraus and A. Lawrence, *Bulk vs. boundary dynamics in anti-de Sitter space-time*, [hep-th/9805171](#).
- [49] S.W. Hawking and D.N. Page, *Thermodynamics of black holes in anti-de Sitter space*, Comm. Math. Phys. **87** (1983) 577.
- [50] M. Douglas, J. Polchinski and A. Strominger *Probing five-dimensional black holes with D-branes*, J. High Energy Phys. **12** (1997) 003, [hep-th 9703031](#).
- [51] O. Aharony, M. Berkooz, S. Kachru, N. Seiberg and E. Silverstein, *Matrix description of interacting theories in six dimensions*, [hep-th/9707079](#), Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **1**(1998) 148; E. Witten, *The conformal field theory of the Higgs branch*, J. High Energy Phys. **7** (1997) 3, [hep-th 9707093](#).
- [52] R. Dijkgraaf, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, *5D black holes and Matrix strings*, [hep-th 9704018](#), Nucl. Phys. **B506** (1997) 121.
- [53] S. Kachru and E. Silverstein, *4d Conformal field theory and strings on orbifolds*, [hep-th 9802183](#); A. Lawrence, N. Nekrasov and C. Vafa, *On conformal field theories in four dimensions*, [hep-th 9803015](#).
- [54] J. Maldacena, A. Strominger, E. Witten, *Black Hole entropy in M-theory*, [hep-th 9711053](#), J. High Energy Phys. **12** (1997) 2.
- [55] K. Behrndt, G.L. Cardoso, B. de Wit, D. Lüster, T. Mohaupt and W.A. Sabra, *Higher order black holes solutions in N=2 supergravity and Calabi-Yau string backgrounds*, [hep-th 9801081](#).
- [56] S. Kachru and C. Vafa, *Exact results for N=2 compactifications of heterotic strings*, Nucl. Phys. **B450** (1995) 69; A. Klemm, W. Lerche and P. Mayr, *K3 fibrations and heterotic type II string duality*, Phys. Lett. **B357** (1995) 313; K. Behrndt, R. Kallosh, J. Rahmfeld, M. Shmakova, W.K. Wong, *STU black holes and string*



- triality* Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 6293; I. Gaida, *Gauge symmetry enhancement and  $N=2$  supersymmetric quantum black holes in heterotic string vacua*, hep-th 9705150, Nucl. Phys. **B514** (1998) 227;
- [57] K. Behrndt and I. Gaida, *Subleading contributions from instanton corrections in  $N=2$  supersymmetric black hole entropy*, hep-th 9702168, Phys. Lett. **B401** (1997) 263; K. Behrndt, G.L. Cardoso and I. Gaida, *Quantum  $N=2$  supersymmetric black holes in the  $S$ - $T$  model*, hep-th 9704095, Nucl. Phys. **B506** (1997) 267
- [58] B. de Wit, J.W. Van Holten and A. Van Proeyen, *Transformation rules of  $N=2$  supergravity multiplets*, Nucl. Phys. **B167** (1980) 186;
- [59] W.A. Sabra, *Black holes in  $N=2$  supergravity theories and harmonic functions*, Nucl. Phys. **B510** (1998) 247, hep-th/9704147, *General static  $N=2$  black holes*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12** (1997) 2585, hep-th/9703101
- [60] K. Behrndt, D. Lüst and W.A. Sabra, *Stationary solutions of  $N=2$  supergravity*, Nucl. Phys. **B510** (1998) 264, hep-th 9705169.
- [61] B. de Wit,  *$N=2$  electromagnetic duality in a chiral background*, hep-th 9602060, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **49** (1996) 191.
- [62] J. Harvey and G. Moore, *Fivebrane instantons and  $R^2$  couplings in  $N=4$  string theory*, hep-th 9610237, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 2323.
- [63] G.L. Cardoso, G. Curio, D. Lüst, T. Mohaupt and S.-J. Rey, *BPS spectra and non-perturbative gravitational couplings in  $N=2,4$  supersymmetric string theories*, Nucl. Phys. **B464** (1996) 18.
- [64] M.J. Duff and J.X. Lu, *Elementary five-brane solutions of  $D=10$  supergravity*, Nucl. Phys. **354** (1991) 141; C.G. Callan, J. Harvey and A. Strominger, *Worldsheet approach to heterotic instantons and solitons*, Nucl. Phys. **B359** (1991) 611 and *Worldbrane actions for string solitons*, Nucl. Phys. **B367** (1991) 60.
- [65] E. Witten, *Small instantons in string theory*, hep-th 9511030, Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 541
- [66] J.M. Maldacena and L. Susskind,  *$D$ -branes and fat black holes*, Nucl. Phys. **B475** (1996) 679, hep-th/9604042.